

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/741,300	10/741,300 12/17/2003		Trung Tri Doan	M122-2471	4374
21567	7590	07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
WELLS ST			ANYA, IGWE U		
SPOKANE,		UE, SUITE 1300 201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2825	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/741,300	DOAN ET AL.	DOAN ET AL.  Art Unit	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Igwe U. Anya	2825	170	
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress	
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  If the period for reply specified above is  If NO period for reply is specified above  Failure to reply within the set or extend	S COMMUNICATION der the provisions of 37 CFR date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a re, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by station three months after the maximum start the maximum start of the start of	<del>-</del>	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to commur	ication(s) filed on <u>17</u>	December 2003.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is	in condition for allov	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is	
closed in accordance w	ith the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pe	nding in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(	s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are a	llowed.				
6)	ected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are o	bjected to.				
8) Claim(s) are sub	ject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is obje	cted to by the Exami	ner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on	<u>17 December 2003</u> is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Exam	iner.	
Applicant may not request	that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing she	et(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration	is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) Acknowledgment is made	le of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) [		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		ents have been received.			
	, ,	ents have been received in A	pplication No.		
		riority documents have been		Stage	
•	•	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		_	
		ist of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)			·		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8			Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC	1-1521	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/17/03, 5/		5)		-102)	

Application/Control Number: 10/741,300

Art Unit: 2825

## Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 3 16, and 18 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tepman et al. (USPAB 2003/0153177) in view of Campbell et al. (USPAB 2004/0153177).
- 4. Tepman et al. teach an atomic layer deposition method, comprising, positioning a semiconductor substrate (108) within an atomic layer deposition chamber (100), feeding a first deposition precursor (paragraphs 24 25) to the chamber under first varied vacuum pressure conditions effective to form a first monolayer on the substrate, the first vacuum conditions being maintained at least in part by closing restrictor (154) and use

Application/Control Number: 10/741,300

of a first non-roughing pump (inherent from pressure of 10 - 300 Torr) connected to the chamber and through which at least some of the first deposition precursor flows; after forming the first monolayer, feeding a purge gas to the chamber under second vacuum conditions maintained at least in part by opening restrictor (154) and use of a second non-roughing vacuum pump (200) to facilitate evacuation connected to the chamber and through which at least some of the purge gas flows (paragraphs 27 - 28); after feeding the purge gas, feeding a second deposition precursor different from the first deposition precursor to the chamber effective to form a second monolayer on the first monolayer (paragraph 28 - 29); and further comprising, after feeding the purge gas, feeding a second deposition precursor to the chamber different from the first deposition precursor under third vacuum conditions effective to form a second monolayer on the first monolayer and using the restrictor (154) in fluid communication with the chamber during the second deposition precursor feeding (paragraph 29).

- 5. Tepman et al. lack the use of multiple non-roughing vacuum pumps isolated form each other, comprising a different non-roughing vacuum pump for each cycle.
- 6. However, Campbell et al. teach the use of multiple non-roughing vacuum pumps (20, 30) isolated from one another to prevent the reactants from reacting and forming deposits in the vacuum pumps (col. 3 line 64 col. 4 line 15).
- 7. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Campbell et al. in the Tepman et al. reference and use a different non-roughing vacuum pump for each cycle to avoid a build up in pumps.

Application/Control Number: 10/741,300

Art Unit: 2825

8. Regarding the chamber pressure on each cycle, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Page 4

- 9. Claims 2, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tepman et al. (USPAB 2003/0153177) in view of Campbell et al. (US Patent 6461436), and further in view of Atwell (US Patent 6206970).
- 10. The Tepman/Campbell reference teaches the features previously outlined, but lacks using a roughing vacuum pump to lower chamber pressure prior to the first deposition precursor feeding.
- 11. However, Atwell teaches using a roughing vacuum pump to lower chamber pressure prior to the first deposition precursor feeding.
- 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Atwell into the Tepman/Campbell et al. reference, and use a roughing vacuum pump to lower chamber pressure prior to the first deposition precursor feeding to avoid saturation of the non-roughing pumps.
- 13. Regarding claim 17 to be entitled to weight in method claims, the recited structural limitations must affect the method in a manipulative sense, and not to amount to the mere claiming of a use of a particular structure. Ex Parte Pfeiffer, 1962 C.D. 408 (1961).
- 14. Prior art considered, but not used in the rejection include Chiang et al. (US patent 6630201), Sandhu (US Patent 6730367), and Kim et al. (US Patent 6391803).

Art Unit: 2825

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Igwe U. Anya whose telephone number is (571) 272-1887. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Igwe U. Anya Examiner Art Unit 2825

IA

June 30, 2004.

WATTHEW SMITH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOBY CENTER 2800